AGENDA BILL BREMERTON CITY COUNCIL

I. SUBJECT: Appeal Hearing Appeal of Planning Commission decision to uphold the Planning Director's decision to issue a CEASE and DESIST ORDER for an illegal "JUNKYARD" land use in the "Business Park (BP)" zone. APPELLANT: WILLIAM SESKO LOCATION: 1701 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE 2. CLEARANCES: Director of DCD: City Attorney:	FOR AGENDA OF: JUNE 28, 1995 ORIGINATING DEPT.: DCD - BLUES ORIGINATOR: Shane Jensen, Planner DATE SUBMITTED: JUNE 20, 1995 3. EXHIBIT with attachments: PC FINDINGS & CONCLUSIONS "A": Appeal of PC decision application	
	"B": Cease and Desist Order "C": "Junkyard" definition from Zoning Ordinance "D": Current Zoning Map "E": Land Use Table # 10 from Zoning Ordinance "F": PC Minutes of 4/18/95	
ENDORSEMENT INFORMATION APPROVED BY COUNCIL PRESIDENT FOR SUBMITTAL:		
MAYORCO	UNCIL PRESIDENT	
4. SUMMARY STATEMENT AND REQUIREMENT FOR COUNCIL ACTION:		
The CEASE and DESIST ORDER was issued in response to apparent illegal use of the subject property as a junkyard. The Order was appealed and upheld by the Planning Commission The City Council is the hearing body for appeals of Planning Commission decisions.		
 When hearing an appeal, the City Council may take any of the following actions: Uphold the Planning Commission's decision, validating the CEASE and DESIST ORDER. Overturn the decision, invalidating the Order. Modify the decision by adding or deleting conditions. Remand the decision back to the Planning Commission for further review of circumstances or impacts identified by the City Council. (The City Council should include specific issues to be considered.) 		
8. <u>RECOMMENDATION</u> :		
Requested Motion: I move to UPHOLD the Planning Commission's decision to uphold the CEASE and DESIST ORDER and hereby recognize the property at 1701 Pennsylvania Avenue as being used as a "JUNKYARD", which is an illegal land use in the "Business Park (BP)" zone.		
	 RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Donald L. Pratt ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL: David Nelson 	
10. NOTICE OF ACTION		
PULLED TABLED APPROVED	DISAPPROVED AMENDED	
	DATE:	
11. AGENDA BILL NO.:		

12. CLEARANCE COMMENT	ENTS:
-----------------------	-------

13. IMPACT OF NON-PASSAGE:

Planning Commission's decision would be overturned, invalidating the CEASE and DESIST ORDER.

14. IMPACT ON STAFFING, BUDGETS, FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT OR OTHER RESOURCES:

Possible additional staff time for further review and analysis.

15. RESEARCH SOURCES:

InterOffice Memo

To:

Bremerton City Council Members

From:

Donald Pratt, Director of Community Development

Shane Jensen, Land Use Planner

Date:

June 20, 1995

Subject:

ZONING ENFORCEMENT APPEAL HEARING PROCEDURES

Because the "proponent" and "opponent" are different in an appeal hearing than in a typical land use permit hearing, this memo is to remind you to the procedures for speakers in an appeal hearing. After the "appearance of fairness/conflict of interest" questions, the hearing is conducted as follows:

- 1. City Clerk introduces the appeal item
- 2. STAFF INTRODUCTION: Identifies actions on property to date (no evidence)
 - clarification questions from Council, if needed
- 3. <u>PROPONENT TESTIMONY</u>: Appellant ("proponent" of appeal) gives presentation in opposition to staff and PC findings
 - clarification questions from Council, if needed
- 4. <u>OPPONENT TESTIMONY</u>: Staff Planner ("opponent" of appeal) reports evidence, and findings of Planning Commission regarding the appeal and why it should be opposed/defeated
 - clarification questions from Council, if needed

5. PUBLIC TESTIMONY

- those in favor of the appeal ("proponents" of appeal)
- those in opposition to the appeal ("opponents" of appeal)
- those with comments of a neutral nature
- 6. WRITTEN TESTIMONY NOT INCLUDED IN STAFF REPORT READ INTO RECORD
- 7. APPELLANT ("proponent") REBUTTAL
 - clarification questions from Council, if needed
- 8. CLOSED TO COUNCIL
 - clarification questions from Council, if needed
 - Council discussion and motion(s)
- 9. COUNCIL ACTION TAKEN BY VOTE

When hearing an appeal, the City Council may take any of the following actions:

- Uphold the Planning Commission's decision, validating the CEASE and DESIST ORDER.
- 2. Overturn the decision, invalidating the Order.
- 3. Modify the decision by adding or deleting conditions.
- 4. Remand the decision back to the Planning Commission for further review of circumstances or impacts identified by the City Council. (The City Council should include specific issues to be considered.)